arXiv:math/0007105v1 [math.QA] 17 Jul 2000

THE NONSTANDARD DEFORMATION $\mathbf{U'_q(so_n)}$ FOR q A ROOT OF UNITY

N. Z. IORGOV AND A. U. KLIMYK [1]

Abstract

We describe properties of the nonstandard q-deformation $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathrm{so}_n)$ of the Lie algebra so_n which does not coincide with the Drinfeld–Jimbo quantum algebra $U_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$. In particular, it is shown that there exists an isomorphism from $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ to $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$ and that finite dimensional irreducible representations of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ separate elements of this algebra. Irreducible representations of the algebras $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ for q a root of unity $q^p = 1$ are given. The main class of these representations act on p^N-dimensional linear space (where N is a number of positive roots of the Lie algebra so_n) and are given by $r = \dim \mathrm{so}_n$ complex parameters. Some classes of degenerate irreducible representations are also described.

1. Introduction

Quantum orthogonal groups, quantum Lorentz groups and their corresponding quantum algebras are of special interest for modern mathematical physics [1-3]. M. Jimbo [4] and V. Drinfeld [5] defined q-deformations (quantum algebras) $U_q(g)$ for all simple complex Lie algebras g by means of Cartan subalgebras and root subspaces (see also [6] and [7]). Reshetikhin, Takhtajan and Faddeev [8] defined quantum algebras $U_q(g)$ in terms of the quantum R-matrix satisfying the quantum Yang–Baxter equation. However, these approaches do not give a satisfactory presentation of the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathrm{so}(n, \mathbf{C}))$ from a viewpoint of some problems in quantum physics and representation theory. When considering representations of the quantum groups $SO_q(n+1)$ and $SO_q(n,1)$ we are interested in reducing them onto the quantum subgroup $SO_q(n)$. This reduction would give an analogue of the Gel'fand–Tsetlin basis for these representations. However, definitions of quantum algebras mentioned above do not allow the inclusions $U_q(\mathrm{so}(n+1, \mathbf{C})) \supset U_q(\mathrm{so}(n, \mathbf{C}))$ and $U_q(\mathrm{so}_{n,1}) \supset U_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$. To be able to exploit such reductions we have to consider q-deformations of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{so}(n+1, \mathbf{C})$ defined in terms of the generators $I_{k,k-1} = E_{k,k-1} - E_{k-1,k}$ (where E_{is} is the matrix with elements $(E_{is})_{rt} = \delta_{ir}\delta_{st}$) rather than by means of Cartan subalgebras and root elements. To construct such deformations we have to deform trilinear relations for elements $I_{k,k-1}$ instead of Serre's relations (used in the case of Jimbo's quantum algebras). As a result, we obtain the associative algebra which will be denoted as $U'_q(\mathrm{so}(n, \mathbf{C}))$.

These q-deformations were first constructed in [9]. They permit one to construct the reductions of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_{n,1})$ and $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_{n+1})$ onto $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$. The q-deformed algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}(n, \mathbf{C}))$ leads for $n = 3$ to the q-deformed algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}(3, \mathbf{C}))$ defined by D. Fairlie [10]. The cyclically symmetric algebra, similar to Fairlie's one, was also considered somewhat earlier by Odesskii [11]. The algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}(3, \mathbf{C}))$ allows us to construct the noncompact quantum algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_{2,1})$. The algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}(4, \mathbf{C}))$ is a q-deformation of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{so}(4, \mathbf{C})$ given by means of usual bilinear commutation relations between

---

[1] The research described in this publication was made possible in part by CRDF Grant UP1-309 and by DFFD Grant 1.4/206.

the elements I_{ji}, $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$. In the case of the classical Lie algebra so$(4, \mathbf{C})$ one has so$(4, \mathbf{C}) = $ so$(3, \mathbf{C}) + $ so$(3, \mathbf{C})$, while in the case of our q-deformation $U'_q(\mathrm{so}(4, \mathbf{C}))$ this is not the case.

In the classical case, the imbedding $SO(n) \subset SU(n)$ (and its infinitesimal analogue) is of great importance for nuclear physics and in the theory of Riemannian symmetric spaces. It is well known that in the framework of Drinfeld–Jimbo quantum groups and algebras one cannot construct the corresponding embedding. The algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}(n, \mathbf{C}))$ allows to define such an embedding [12], that is, it is possible to define the embedding $U'_q(\mathrm{so}(n, \mathbf{C})) \subset U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$, where $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$ is the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum algebra.

As a disadvantage of the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}(n, \mathbf{C}))$ we have to mention the difficulties with Hopf algebra structure. Nevertheless, $U'_q(\mathrm{so}(n, \mathbf{C}))$ turns out to be a coideal in $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$ (see [12]) and this fact allows us to consider tensor products of finite dimensional irreducible representations of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}(n, \mathbf{C}))$ for many interesting cases.

For convenience, below we denote the Lie algebra so$(n, \mathbf{C})$ by so$_n$ and the q-deformed algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}(n, \mathbf{C}))$ by $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$.

Finite dimensional irreducible representations of the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ were constructed in [9]. The formulas of action of the generators of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ upon the basis (which is a q-analogue of the Gel'fand–Tsetlin basis) are given there. A proof of these formulas and some their corrections were given in [13]. However, finite dimensional irreducible representations described in [9] and [13] are representations of the classical type. They are q-deformations of the corresponding irreducible representations of the Lie algebra so$_n$, that is, at $q \to 1$ they turn into representations of so$_n$.

The algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ has other classes of finite dimensional irreducible representations which have no classical analogue. These representations are singular at the limit $q \to 1$. They are described in [14]. Note that the description of these representations for the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_3)$ is given in [15]. A classification of irreducible $*$-representations of real forms of the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_3)$ is given in [16].

The aim of this paper is to give irreducible representations of the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ in the case when q is a root of unity. We prove that in this case all irreducible representations of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ are finite dimensional. In order to prove the corresponding theorem we prove an analogue of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem for $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ (this analogue was anounced in [17]) and use central elements of this algebra for q a root of unity (they are derived in [18]).

For construction of irreducible representations of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ for q a root of unity, we use the method of D. Arnaudon and A. Chakrabarti [19] for construction of irreducible representations of the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$ when q is a root of unity. If $q^p = 1$ and p is an odd integer, then we construct the series of irreducible representations of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ which act on p^N-dimensional vector space (where N is the number of positive roots of the Lie algebra so$_n$) and are given by $r = \dim \mathrm{so}_n$ complex parameters. These representations are irreducible for generic values of these parameters. These representations constitute the main class of irreducible representations of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$. For some special values of the representation parameters in $\mathbb{C}^r$ the representations are reducible. These reducible representations give many other classes of (degenerate) irreducible representations which are given by less number of parameters or by parameters, values of which cover subsets of $\mathbb{C}^r$ of Lebesgue measure 0. As in the case of irreducible representations of the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$, it is difficult to enumerate all irreducible representations of these classes. However, we give some most important classes of these degenerate representations. In particular, we give 2^{n-1} classes of these representations, which are an analogue of the nonclassical type irreducible representations of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$

for q not a root of unity.

2. THE q-DEFORMED ALGEBRA $U_q'(\mathrm{so}_n)$

The Drinfeld–Jimbo algebra $U_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ is obtained by deforming Serre's relations for generating elements $E_1, \cdots, E_l,\ F_1, \cdots, F_l,\ H_1, \cdots, H_l$ of $U(\mathrm{so}_n)$ (see [20]). In order to obtain $U_q'(\mathrm{so}_n)$ we have to take determining relations for the generating elements I_{21}, $I_{32}, \cdots, I_{n,n-1}$ of $U(\mathrm{so}_n)$ (they do not coincide with $E_j,\ F_j,\ H_j$) and to deform these relations. The elements $I_{21},\ I_{32}, \cdots, I_{n,n-1}$ belong to the basis $I_{ij},\ i>j$, of the Lie algebra so_n. The matrices $I_{ij},\ i>j$, are defined as $I_{ij} = E_{ij} - E_{ji}$, where E_{ij} is the matrix with entries $(E_{ij})_{rs} = \delta_{ir}\delta_{js}$. The universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathrm{so}_n)$ is generated by a part of the basis elements $I_{ij},\ i>j$, namely, by the elements $I_{21},\ I_{32}, \cdots, I_{n,n-1}$. These elements satisfy the relations

$$I_{i,i-1}^2 I_{i+1,i} - 2I_{i,i-1}I_{i+1,i}I_{i,i-1} + I_{i+1,i}I_{i,i-1}^2 = -I_{i+1,i},$$

$$I_{i,i-1}I_{i+1,i}^2 - 2I_{i+1,i}I_{i,i-1}I_{i+1,i} + I_{i+1,i}^2 I_{i,i-1} = -I_{i,i-1},$$

$$I_{i,i-1}I_{j,j-1} - I_{j,j-1}I_{i,i-1} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |i-j|>1.$$

The following theorem is true [21] for the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathrm{so}_n)$.

Theorem 1. *The universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathrm{so}_n)$ is isomorphic to the complex associative algebra (with a unit element) generated by the elements $I_{21},\ I_{32}, \cdots, I_{n,n-1}$ satisfying the above relations.*

We make the q-deformation of these relations by $2 \to [2] := (q^2 - q^{-2})/(q - q^{-1}) = q + q^{-1}$. As a result, we obtain the complex associative algebra generated by elements $I_{21},\ I_{32}, \cdots, I_{n,n-1}$ satisfying the relations

$$I_{i,i-1}^2 I_{i+1,i} - (q+q^{-1})I_{i,i-1}I_{i+1,i}I_{i,i-1} + I_{i+1,i}I_{i,i-1}^2 = -I_{i+1,i}, \tag{1}$$

$$I_{i,i-1}I_{i+1,i}^2 - (q+q^{-1})I_{i+1,i}I_{i,i-1}I_{i+1,i} + I_{i+1,i}^2 I_{i,i-1} = -I_{i,i-1}, \tag{2}$$

$$I_{i,i-1}I_{j,j-1} - I_{j,j-1}I_{i,i-1} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |i-j|>1. \tag{3}$$

This algebra was introduced by us in [9] and is denoted by $U_q'(\mathrm{so}_n)$.

We wish to formulate and to prove for the algebra $U_q'(\mathrm{so}_n)$ an analogue of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem. For this we determine (see [22] and [23]) in $U_q'(\mathrm{so}_n)$ elements analogous to the matrices $I_{ij},\ i>j$, of the Lie algebra so_n. In order to give them we use the notation $I_{k,k-1} \equiv I^+_{k,k-1} \equiv I^-_{k,k-1}$. Then for $k > l+1$ we define recursively

$$I^+_{kl} := [I_{l+1,l}, I_{k,l+1}]_q \equiv q^{1/2} I_{l+1,l} I_{k,l+1} - q^{-1/2} I_{k,l+1} I_{l+1,l}, \tag{4}$$

$$I^-_{kl} := [I_{l+1,l}, I_{k,l+1}]_{q^{-1}} \equiv q^{-1/2} I_{l+1,l} I_{k,l+1} - q^{1/2} I_{k,l+1} I_{l+1,l}.$$

The elements $I^+_{kl},\ k>l$, satisfy the commutation relations

$$[I^+_{ln}, I^+_{kl}]_q = I^+_{kn}, \quad [I^+_{kl}, I^+_{kn}]_q = I^+_{ln}, \quad [I^+_{kn}, I^+_{ln}]_q = I^+_{kl} \quad \text{for} \quad k>l>n, \tag{5}$$

$$[I^+_{kl}, I^+_{nr}] = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k>l>n>r \ \text{ and } \ k>n>r>l, \tag{6}$$

$$[I^+_{kl}, I^+_{nr}]_q = (q-q^{-1})(I^+_{lr}I^+_{kn} - I^+_{kr}I^+_{nl}) \quad \text{for} \quad k>n>l>r. \tag{7}$$

For $I^-_{kl},\ k>l$, the commutation relations are obtained from these relations by replacing I^+_{kl} by I^-_{kl} and q by q^{-1}.

The algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ can be considered as an associative algebra (with unit element) generated by I^+_{kl}, $1 \le l < k \le n$, satisfying the relations (5)–(7). Really, using the relations (4) we can reduce the relations (5)–(7) to the relations (1)–(3) for I_{21}, $I_{32}, \cdots, I_{n,n-1}$ (for the case of the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_3)$ this reduction is simple and is given, for example, in [15]). Similarly, $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ is an associative algebra generated by I^-_{kl}, $1 \le l < k \le n$, satisfying the corresponding relations.

Now the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem for the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ can be formulated as follows.

Theorem 2. *The elements*

$$I^{+\ m_{21}}_{21} I^{+\ m_{31}}_{31} \cdots I^{+\ m_{n1}}_{n1} I^{+\ m_{32}}_{32} I^{+\ m_{42}}_{42} \cdots I^{+\ m_{n2}}_{n2} \cdots I^{+\ \ m_{n,n-1}}_{n,n-1}, \qquad m_{ij} = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,$$

form a basis of the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$. This assertion is true if I^+_{ij} are replaced by the corresponding elements I^-_{ij}.

Proof. The proof of this theorem is essentially based on Bergman's Diamond Lemma [24]. All the terms which we use in this proof without explanation are defined there. Let us consider $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ as an associative algebra, generated by the elements I^+_{kl}, $1 \le l < k \le n$, satisfying the relations (5)–(7). These relations can be presented in the form of reduction rules

$$\begin{aligned}
I^+_{kl} I^+_{ml} &= q^{-1} I^+_{ml} I^+_{kl} + q^{-1/2} I^+_{km}, && k > m > l\ , && (8)\\
I^+_{km} I^+_{ml} &= q I^+_{ml} I^+_{km} - q^{1/2} I^+_{kl}, && k > m > l\ , && (9)\\
I^+_{km} I^+_{kl} &= q^{-1} I^+_{kl} I^+_{km} + q^{-1/2} I^+_{ml}, && k > m > l\ , && (10)\\
I^+_{kl} I^+_{mp} &= I^+_{mp} I^+_{kl}, && k > l > m > p\ , && (11)\\
I^+_{kl} I^+_{mp} &= I^+_{mp} I^+_{kl}, && m > k > l > p\ , && (12)\\
I^+_{kl} I^+_{mp} &= I^+_{mp} I^+_{kl} + (q - q^{-1})(I^+_{lp} I^+_{km} - I^+_{kp} I^+_{ml})\ , && k > m > l > p\ . && (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Every element of the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ can be presented as a linear combination of monomials of the noncommuting elements I^+_{kl}, $l < k$. If some monomial contains as a submonomial the left-hand side of some of formulas (8)–(13), then this submonomial must be replaced by the corresponding right-hand side.

In order to show that the described procedure of reductions will terminate, we introduce the total ordering in the set of all monomials. We set $I^+_{m,p} \prec I^+_{k,l}$ if either $p < l$ or both $p = l$ and $m < k$. Then we say $X \prec Y$ if the length (the number of generators) of monomial X is less than the length of monomial Y or if their lengths are equal, but X is less than Y in the sense of lexicographical ordering with respect to the ordering of generators. The introduced ordering has an obvious property: condition $X \prec Y$ implies $AXB \prec AYB$ for arbitrary two monomials A and B. Since the left-hand side of any rule from the reduction system (8)–(13) is greater than any monomial in the corresponding right-hand side, the procedure of reductions must terminate. The basis monomials of the statement of the theorem are exactly that monomials which can not be reduced more.

We need only to show that the result of reductions does not depend on the order of using reduction rules. The Diamond Lemma claims that this requirement will be fulfilled if one shows that all ambiguities that arise in the reduction system (8)–(13) are resolvable. It is easy to see, that all these ambiguities are *overlap* ambiguities and appear when one considers monomials such as $I^+_{i_1,i_2} I^+_{i_3,i_4} I^+_{i_5,i_6}$, where $I^+_{i_1,i_2} \succ I^+_{i_3,i_4} \succ I^+_{i_5,i_6}$. The proof of the resolvability of arising ambiguities is the same (up to replacement of indices) for all the initial monomials having the same ordering of indices. In this case, we say that ambiguities are of the same type. It is enough to prove resolvability only for one representative from the set of all monomials with some fixed type of ambiguity.

Let us demonstrate resolvability of some concrete type of ambiguity. Consider the reduction of the monomials $I^+_{i_1,i_2} I^+_{i_3,i_4} I^+_{i_5,i_6}$ with the following ordering of indices: $i_1 > i_2 = i_3 = i_5 > i_4 > i_6$. Choose the representative $I^+_{43} I^+_{32} I^+_{31}$ from this set of monomials. It can be reduced in two different ways (over signs "=" we write down reduction rules from the reduction system (8)–(13) which must be used):

$$(I^+_{43} I^+_{32}) I^+_{31} \overset{(9)}{=} (q I^+_{32} I^+_{43} - q^{1/2} I^+_{42}) I^+_{31} \overset{(9)}{=} q I^+_{32} (q I^+_{31} I^+_{43} - q^{1/2} I^+_{41}) - q^{1/2} I^+_{42} I^+_{31} =$$

$$\overset{(12),(13)}{=} q^2 I^+_{32} I^+_{31} I^+_{43} - q^{3/2} I^+_{41} I^+_{32} - q^{1/2} \Big(I^+_{32} I^+_{42} + (q - q^{-1})(I^+_{21} I^+_{43} - I^+_{41} I^+_{32}) \Big) =$$

$$\overset{(10)}{=} q I^+_{31} I^+_{32} I^+_{43} - q^{-1/2} I^+_{41} I^+_{32} - q^{1/2} I^+_{31} I^+_{42} + q^{-1/2} I^+_{21} I^+_{43} \ ,$$

$$I^+_{43} (I^+_{32} I^+_{31}) \overset{(10)}{=} I^+_{43} (q^{-1} I^+_{31} I^+_{32} + q^{-1/2} I^+_{21}) \overset{(9),(11)}{=} q^{-1} (q I^+_{31} I^+_{43} - q^{1/2} I^+_{41}) I^+_{32} +$$

$$+ q^{-1/2} I^+_{21} I^+_{43} \overset{(9)}{=} I^+_{31} (q I^+_{32} I^+_{43} - q^{1/2} I^+_{42}) - q^{-1/2} I^+_{41} I^+_{32} + q^{-1/2} I^+_{21} I^+_{43} \ .$$

The results of these two reductions are the same. This means that arising *overlap* ambiguity is resolvable.

It is easy to show by exactly the same calculation, that all the other 65 types of ambiguities which arise in the reduction system (8)–(13) are also resolvable. Therefore, all the conditions of the Diamond Lemmma are fulfilled and theorem is proved.

3. THE ISOMORPHISM $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n) \to U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$

The algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ can be embedded into the Drinfeld–Jimbo quantum algebra $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$ (see [12]). This quantum algebra is generated by the elements E_i, F_i, $K_i^{\pm 1} = q^{\pm H_i}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$, satisfying the relations

$$K_i K_j = K_j K_i, \quad K_i K_i^{-1} = K_i^{-1} K_i = 1,$$

$$K_i E_j K_i^{-1} = q^{a_{ij}} E_j, \quad K_i F_j K_i^{-1} = q^{-a_{ij}} F_j,$$

$$[E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}},$$

$$E_i^2 E_{i\pm 1} - (q + q^{-1}) E_i E_{i\pm 1} E_i + E_{i\pm 1} E_i^2 = 0,$$

$$F_i^2 F_{i\pm 1} - (q + q^{-1}) F_i F_{i\pm 1} F_i + F_{i\pm 1} F_i^2 = 0,$$

$$[E_i, E_j] = 0, \ \ [F_i, F_j] = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |i - j| > 1,$$

where a_{ij} are elements of the Cartan matrix of the Lie algebra sl_n.

In order to prove Theorem 3 below, we note that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the basis elements of the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ from Theorem 2 and the basis elements of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{N}^-$ of the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$, generated by $F_1, F_2, \cdots, F_{n-1}$. The last basis elements are constructed by means of the following ordering of positive roots of the Lie algebra sl_n:

$$\beta_{12}, \beta_{13}, \cdots, \beta_{1n}, \beta_{23}, \cdots, \beta_{2n}, \cdots, \beta_{n-2,n-1}, \beta_{n-2,n}, \beta_{n-1,n}, \tag{14}$$

where $\beta_{ij} = \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{j-1}$ and α_k are simple roots. (This ordering is the same as in Theorem 2.) To every root of this set there corresponds the element $F_{\beta_{ij}} \in \mathfrak{N}^-$ (see, for example, [7]). Then according to the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem for the algebra $\mathfrak{N}^-$ (see, [7], subsection 6.2.3) the elements

$$F_{\beta_{12}}^{m_{12}} F_{\beta_{13}}^{m_{13}} \cdots F_{\beta_{1n}}^{m_{1n}} \cdots F_{\beta_{n-1,n}}^{m_{n-1,n}}, \qquad m_{ij} = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \tag{15}$$

(the order of β_{ij} is the same as in (14)) form a basis of $\mathfrak{N}^-$. Then the mapping

$$F_{\beta_{12}}^{m_{12}} F_{\beta_{13}}^{m_{13}} \cdots F_{\beta_{n-1,n}}^{m_{n-1,n}} \to I_{21}^{-}{}^{m_{12}} I_{31}^{-}{}^{m_{13}} \cdots I_{n,n-1}^{-}{}^{m_{n-1,n}} \tag{16}$$

is the one-to-one correspondence between basis elements in $\mathfrak{N}^-$ and in $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ which will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}$.

Similarly, to every root β_{ij} from (14) there corresponds the element $E_{\beta_{ij}}$ of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{N}^+ \subset U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$, generated by $E_1, E_2, \cdots, E_{n-1}$. The elements

$$E_{\beta_{12}}^{m_{12}} E_{\beta_{13}}^{m_{13}} \cdots E_{\beta_{1n}}^{m_{1n}} \cdots E_{\beta_{n-1,n}}^{m_{n-1,n}}, \qquad m_{ij} = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,$$

(the order of β_{ij} is the same as in (14)) form a basis of $\mathfrak{N}^+$.

The formulas

$$\deg\,(F_{\beta_{12}}^{m_{12}} F_{\beta_{13}}^{m_{13}} \cdots F_{\beta_{n-1,n}}^{m_{n-1,n}}) = -(m_{12}\beta_{12} + m_{13}\beta_{13} + \cdots m_{n-1,n}\beta_{n-1,n}),$$

$$\deg\,(E_{\beta_{12}}^{m_{12}} E_{\beta_{13}}^{m_{13}} \cdots E_{\beta_{n-1,n}}^{m_{n-1,n}}) = m_{12}\beta_{12} + m_{13}\beta_{13} + \cdots m_{n-1,n}\beta_{n-1,n},$$

$$\deg\,(H_1^{m_1} \cdots H_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}}) = 0$$

establish a gradation in $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$ (see [7], subsection 6.1.5).

Let us introduce the elements

$$\tilde{I}_{j,j-1} = F_{j-1} - q q^{-H_{j-1}} E_{j-1}, \qquad j = 2, 3, \cdots, n,$$

of $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$. It is proved in [12] that there exists the algebra homomorphism $\varphi : U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n) \to U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$ uniquely determined by the relations $\varphi(I_{i+1,i}) = \tilde{I}_{i+1,i}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$. The following theorem states that this homomorphism is an isomorphism.

Theorem 3. *The homomorphism* $\varphi : U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n) \to U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$ *determined by the relations* $\varphi(I_{i+1,i}) = \tilde{I}_{i+1,i}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$, *is an isomorphism of* $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ *to* $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$.

Proof. In [22] the authors of that paper state that this homomorphism is an isomorphism and say that it can be proved by means of the Diamond Lemma. However, we could not restore their proof and found another one. It use the above Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem for the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$. Namely, we use the explicit expressions from [22] for the elements $\tilde{I}_{ij} \equiv \varphi(I_{ij}) \in U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$ in terms of the elements of the L-functionals of the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$:

$$\tilde{I}_{ji} = (q - q^{-1})^{-1} c_i K_{ji}^-, \quad j > i, \tag{17}$$

where c_i is equal to q^s with an appropriate $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$K^- \equiv (K_{ji}^-)_{i,j=1}^n = (L^+)^t J L^-. \tag{18}$$

Here $J = \mathrm{diag}\,(q^{n-1}, q^{n-2}, \cdots, 1)$ and explicit expressions for matrix elements l_{ij}^+ and l_{ij}^- of the matrices L^+ and L^- are given by formulas from [12] (see also [7], subsection

8.5.2). In particular, $l^+_{ij} = l^-_{ji} = 0$ if $i > j$ and l^+_{ij} (resp. l^-_{ji}) is expressed in terms of $E_{\beta_{ij}}$ (in terms of $F_{\beta_{ij}}$) if $i < j$. We have

$$\deg l^+_{ij} = \beta_{ij}, \quad \deg l^-_{ij} = -\beta_{ij}.$$

The elements $l^\pm_{jj}$ belong to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{H}$ generated by $K_1, K_2, \cdots, K_{n-1}$. By (18) for $j > i$ we obtain

$$K^-_{ji} = \sum_{s=i}^{j} c'_s l^+_{sj} l^-_{si}, \qquad j > i, \tag{19}$$

where $c'_s = (q - q^{-1})q^r$ with an appropriate $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. The summands in (19) have different degrees and the lowest degree has the only summand $c'_j l^+_{jj} l^-_{ji}$.

Let a be a basis element ${I^-_{21}}^{m_{21}} {I^-_{31}}^{m_{31}} \cdots {I^-_{n,n-1}}^{m_{n,n-1}}$ of the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ from Theorem 2. Then

$$\varphi(a) = (\tilde{I}^-_{21})^{m_{21}} (\tilde{I}^-_{31})^{m_{31}} \cdots (\tilde{I}^-_{n,n-1})^{m_{n,n-1}}.$$

Substituting here expressions for $\tilde{I}^-_{ji}$ from formulas (17) and (19), we obtain $\varphi(a)$ in form of a sum with a single summand of the lowest degree. This summand of lowest degree is $c' F^{m_{21}}_{\beta_{21}} F^{m_{31}}_{\beta_{31}} \cdots F^{m_{n,n-1}}_{\beta_{n,n-1}}$ with nonvanishing coefficient c'. The expression at c' is just the basis element of $\mathfrak{N}^- \subset U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$ corresponding under the mapping $\mathcal{T}$ to the basis element a of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$.

Similarly, if an element $a \in U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ is a linear combination of the basis elements ${I^-_{21}}^{m_{21}} {I^-_{31}}^{m_{31}} \cdots {I^-_{n,n-1}}^{m_{n,n-1}}$ from Theorem 2, then we substitute into $\varphi(a)$ expressions (19) for each K^-_{ji}. As a result, we express $\varphi(a)$ in form af a sum, containing the same linear combination of products $c' F^{m_{21}}_{\beta_{21}} F^{m_{31}}_{\beta_{31}} \cdots F^{m_{n,n-1}}_{\beta_{n,n-1}}$. This linear combination contains a subsum of a (fixed) lowest degree and this subsum cannot be concelled with other summands in $\varphi(a)$. Therefore, $\varphi(a) \neq 0$ and φ is an isomorphism from $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ to $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$. Theorem is proved.

This theorem has an important corollary.

Corollary. *Finite dimensional irreducible representations of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ separate elements of this algebra, that is, for any $a \in U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ there exists a finite dimensional irreducible representation T of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ such that $T(a) \neq 0$.*

Proof. If q is not a root of unity, then the assertion of the theorem follows from Theorem 3 and from the theorem on separation of elements of the algebra $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$ by its representations (see subsection 7.1.5 in [7]) if to take into account the fact (proved in [22]) that a restriction of any finite dimensional irreducible representation of $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$ onto the subalgebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ decomposes into a direct sum of its irreducible representations.

Let now q be a root of unity, that is $q^k = 1$. We denote by N a positive integer such that every irreducible representation of the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$ has dimension less than N. Let a be any nonvanishing element of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$. Then there exists an irreducible representation T of $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$ such that $T(\varphi(a^N)) \neq 0$. (Note that $a^N \neq 0$ since $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_n)$ has no divisors of zero.) Let $\tilde{T}$ be the restriction of T to the subalgebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$. Then $\tilde{T}$ is reducible. For simplicity we suppose that $\tilde{T}$ contains only two irreducible representations of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$. (If $\tilde{T}$ contains more irreducible constituents, then the proof is the same as for two ones.) Generally speaking, $\tilde{T}$ is not completely reducible, that is in some basis the representation $\tilde{T}$ is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_1 & * \\ 0 & T_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where T_1 and T_2 are irreducible representations of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$. Since $\tilde{T}(a^N) \neq 0$, then $\tilde{T}(a) \neq 0$ and

$$\tilde{T}(a) = \begin{pmatrix} T_1(a) & * \\ 0 & T_2(a) \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $T_1(a) \neq 0$ or $T_2(a) \neq 0$, then irreducible representations of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ separate the element a. Let $T_1(a) = 0$ and $T_2(a) = 0$. Then

$$\tilde{T}(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case $\tilde{T}(a)$ is a nilpotent matrix and $\tilde{T}(a)^N = \tilde{T}(a^N) = 0$. This contradict the assupmtion that $\tilde{T}(a^N) \neq 0$. Therefore, the case $T_1(a) = 0$ and $T_2(a) = 0$ is not possible. Corollary is proved.

4. Finite dimensionality of representations

Everywhere below we assume, if other is not stated, that q is a root of unity. Moreover, we consider that $q^k = 1$ and k is an odd integer.

Below we shall need an information on the center of the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$. Central elements of the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ for any value of q are found in [17] and [22]. They are given in the form of homogeneous polynomials of elements of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$. If q is a root of unity, then (as in the case of Drinfeld–Jimbo quantum algebras) there are additional central elements of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ which are given by the following theorem, proved in [18].

Theorem 4. *Let $q^k = 1$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q^j \neq 1$ for $0 < j < k$. Then the elements*

$$C^{(k)}(I^+_{rl}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\{(k-1)/2\}} \binom{k-j}{j} \frac{1}{k-j} \Big(\frac{i}{q-q^{-1}}\Big)^{2j} {I^+_{rl}}^{k-2j}, \quad r > l, \tag{20}$$

where $\{(k-1)/2\}$ is the integral part of the number $(k-1)/2$, belong to the center of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$.

It is well-known that a Drinfeld–Jimbo algebra $U_q(g)$ for q a root of unity ($q^k = 1$) is a finite dimensional vector space over the center of $U_q(g)$. The same assertion is true for the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$. In fact, by Theorem 4 any element $(I^+_{ij})^s$, $s \geq k$, can be reduced to a linear combination of $(I^+_{ij})^r$, $r < k$, with coefficients from the center $\mathcal{C}$ of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$. Now our assertion follows from this sentence and from Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem for $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$.

Theorem 5. *If q is a root of unity, then any irreducible representation of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ is finite dimensional.*

Proof. Let q be a root of unity, that is $q^k = 1$. Let T be an irreducible representation of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ on a vector space $\mathcal{V}$. Then T maps central elements into scalar operators. Since the linear space $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ is finite dimensional over the center $\mathcal{C}$ with the basis ${I^+_{21}}^{m_{21}} {I^+_{31}}^{m_{31}} \cdots {I^+_{n,n-1}}^{m_{n,n-1}}$, $m_{ij} < k$, then for any $a \in U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ we have $T(a) =$

$\sum_{m_{ij}<k} c_{\{m_{ij}\}} T(I_{21}^{+\,m_{21}} I_{31}^{+\,m_{31}} \cdots I_{n,n-1}^{+\quad m_{n,n-1}})$, where $c_{\{m_{ij}\}}$ are numerical coefficients. Hence, if $\mathbf{v}$ is a nonzero vector of the representation space $\mathcal{V}$, then $T(U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n))\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{V}$ since T is an irreducible representation. Since $T(a)$ is of the above form for any $a \in U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$, then $\mathcal{V}$ is finite dimensional. Theorem is proved.

It follows from this proof that there exists a fixed positive integer r such that dimension of any irreducible representation of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ at q a root of unity does not exceed r. Of course, the number r depends on k (recall that k is defined by $q^k = 1$).

5. CYCLIC REPRESENTATIONS AT q A ROOT OF UNITY

Taking into account Theorem 5, below under studying irreducible representations of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ at q a root of unity we consider only its finite dimensional representations.

If q is not a root of unity, there exists two types of such representations:

(a) representations of the classical type (at $q \to 1$ they give the corresponding finite dimensional irreducible representations of the Lie algebra so_n);

(b) representations of the nonclassical type (they do not admit the limit $q \to 1$ since in this point the representation operators are singular). These representations are described in [14].

Let us consider irreducible representations of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ for q a root of unity ($q^k = 1$ and k is a smallest positive integer with this property). We also assume that k is odd. If k would be even, then almost all below reasoning is true, if to replace k by $k' = k/2$ (as in the case of irreducible representations of the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathrm{sl}_2)$ for q a root of unity in [7], chapter 3).

We fix complex numbers $m_{1,n}, m_{2,n}, ..., m_{\{n/2\},n}$ (here $\{n/2\}$ denotes an integral part of $n/2$) and c_{ij}, h_{ij}, $j = 2, 3, \cdots, n-1$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, \{j/2\}$ such that no of the numbers

$$m_{in},\ \ h_{ij},\ \ h_{ij}-h_{sj},\ \ h_{ij}-h_{s,j\pm1},\ \ h_{ij}+h_{sj},\ \ h_{ij}+h_{s,j\pm1},\ \ h_{b,n-1}-m_{sn},\ \ h_{b,n-1}+m_{sn}$$

belongs to $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. (We also suppose that $c_{ij} \neq 0$.) The set of these numbers will be denoted by ω:

$$\omega = \{\mathbf{m}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n-1}, \mathbf{h}_{n-1}, \cdots, \mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{h}_2\},$$

where $\mathbf{m}_n$ is the set of the numbers $m_{1,n}, m_{2,n}, ..., m_{\{n/2\},n}$, and $\mathbf{c}_j$ and $\mathbf{h}_j$ are the sets of numbers c_{ij}, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, \{j/2\}$, and h_{ij}, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, \{j/2\}$, respectively. (Thus, ω contains $r = \dim \mathrm{so}_n$ complex numbers.) Let V be a complex vector space with a basis labelled by the tableaux

$$\{\xi_n\} \equiv \left\{ \begin{matrix} \mathbf{m}_n \\ \mathbf{m}_{n-1} \\ \cdots \\ \mathbf{m}_2 \end{matrix} \right\} \equiv \{\mathbf{m}_n, \xi_{n-1}\} \equiv \{\mathbf{m}_n, \mathbf{m}_{n-1}, \xi_{n-2}\}, \tag{21}$$

where the set of numbers $\mathbf{m}_n$ consists of $\{n/2\}$ numbers $m_{1,n}, m_{2,n}, \cdots, m_{\{n/2\},n}$ given above, and for each $s = 2, 3, \cdots, n-1$, $\mathbf{m}_s$ is a set of numbers $m_{1,s}, \cdots, m_{\{s/2\},s}$ and each $m_{i,s}$ runs independently the values $h_{i,s}, h_{i,s}+1, \cdots, h_{i,s}+k-1$. Thus, $\dim V$ coincides with k^N, where N is the number of positive roots of so_n. It is convenient to use for the numbers $m_{i,s}$, $s = 2, 3, \cdots, n$, the so-called l-coordinates

$$l_{j,2p+1} = m_{j,2p+1} + p - j + 1, \qquad l_{j,2p} = m_{j,2p} + p - j. \tag{22}$$

To the set of numbers ω there corresponds the irreducible finite dimensional representation T_ω of the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$. The operators $T_\omega(I_{2p+1,2p})$ of the representation T_ω act upon the basis elements, labelled by (21), by the formula

$$T_\omega(I_{2p+1,2p})|\xi_n\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{p} c_{j,2p}\frac{A^j_{2p}(\xi_n)}{q^{l_{j,2p}}+q^{-l_{j,2p}}}\,|(\xi_n)^{+j}_{2p}\rangle-\sum_{j=1}^{p} c^{-1}_{j,2p}\frac{A^j_{2p}((\xi_n)^{-j}_{2p})}{q^{l_{j,2p}}+q^{-l_{j,2p}}}\,|(\xi_n)^{-j}_{2p}\rangle \tag{23}$$

and the operators $T_\omega(I_{2p,2p-1})$ of the representation T_ω act as

$$T_\omega(I_{2p,2p-1})|\xi_n\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} c_{j,2p-1}\frac{B^j_{2p-1}(\xi_n)}{[2l_{j,2p-1}-1][l_{j,2p-1}]}\,|(\xi_n)^{+j}_{2p-1}\rangle-$$

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} c^{-1}_{j,j,2p-1}\frac{B^j_{2p-1}((\xi_n)^{-j}_{2p-1})}{[2l_{j,2p-1}-1][l_{j,2p-1}-1]}\,|(\xi_n)^{-j}_{2p-1}\rangle+\mathrm{i}\,C_{2p-1}(\xi_n)\,|\xi_n\rangle, \tag{24}$$

where numbers in square brackets mean q-numbers:

$$[b]:=\frac{q^b-q^{-b}}{q-q^{-1}}.$$

In these formulas, $(\xi_n)^{\pm j}_s$ means the tableau (21) in which j-th component $m_{j,s}$ in $\mathbf{m}_s$ is replaced by $m_{j,s}\pm 1$. If $m_{j,s}+1=h_{j,s}+k$ (resp. $m_{j,s}-1=h_{j,s}-1$), then we set $m_{j,s}+1=h_{j,s}$ (resp. $m_{j,s}-1=h_{j,s}+k-1$). The coefficients A^j_{2p}, B^j_{2p-1}, C_{2p-1} in (23) and (24) are given by the expressions

$$A^j_{2p}(\xi_n)=$$

$$=\left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p}[l_{i,2p+1}+l_{j,2p}][l_{i,2p+1}-l_{j,2p}-1]\prod_{i=1}^{p-1}[l_{i,2p-1}+l_{j,2p}][l_{i,2p-1}-l_{j,2p}-1]}{\prod_{i\neq j}^{p}[l_{i,2p}+l_{j,2p}][l_{i,2p}-l_{j,2p}][l_{i,2p}+l_{j,2p}+1][l_{i,2p}-l_{j,2p}-1]}\right)^{1/2},$$

$$B^j_{2p-1}(\xi_n)=$$

$$=\left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p}[l_{i,2p}+l_{j,2p-1}][l_{i,2p}-l_{j,2p-1}]\prod_{i=1}^{p-1}[l_{i,2p-2}+l_{j,2p-1}][l_{i,2p-2}-l_{j,2p-1}]}{\prod_{i\neq j}^{p-1}[l_{i,2p-1}+l_{j,2p-1}][l_{i,2p-1}-l_{j,2p-1}][l_{i,2p-1}+l_{j,2p-1}-1][l_{i,2p-1}-l_{j,2p-1}-1]}\right)^{1/2},$$

$$C_{2p-1}(\xi_n)=\frac{\prod_{s=1}^{p}[l_{s,2p}]\prod_{s=1}^{p-1}[l_{s,2p-2}]}{\prod_{s=1}^{p-1}[l_{s,2p-1}][l_{s,2p-1}-1]}.$$

The fact that the operators $T_\omega(I_{j,j-1})$, given above, satisfy the defining relations (1)–(3) is proved in the same way as in the case of irreducible representations of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ when q is not a root of unity in [13] and we omit these rather long calculations.

As in the case of finite dimensional irreducible representations of the Lie algebra so_n, the form of the basis elements of the above representation space V and the formulas for the operators $T_\omega(I_{j,j-1})$ allow us to decompose the restriction of the representation T_ω, $\omega=\{\mathbf{m}_n,\mathbf{c}_{n-1},\mathbf{h}_{n-1},\cdots,\mathbf{c}_2,\mathbf{h}_2\}$, to the subalgebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_{n-1})$. We have

$$T_\omega\big|_{U'_q(\mathrm{so}_{n-1})}=\bigoplus\nolimits_{\omega_{n-1}}T_{\omega_{n-1}}, \tag{25}$$

where $\omega_{n-1} = \{\mathbf{m}_{n-1}, \mathbf{c}_{n-2}, \mathbf{h}_{n-2}, \cdots, \mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{h}_2\}$ and $\mathbf{m}_{n-1}$ runs over the vectors

$$(h_{1,n-1} + a_1, h_{2,n-1} + a_2, \cdots, h_{s,n-1} + a_s), \quad s = \{(n-1)/2\}, \quad a_j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, k-1,$$

and $\mathbf{c}_j$ and $\mathbf{h}_j$ are such as in ω.

Theorem 6. *Representations T_ω with the domain of values of representation parameters, as described above, are irreducible.*

Proof. The proof will be carried out by induction. We shall show even more: For every algebra $U_q'(\mathrm{so}_r)$, $r = 2, 3, \cdots$, the representations T_ω are irreducible, and two representations T_ω and $T_{\omega'}$ $(\omega \neq \omega')$ with $\omega = \{\mathbf{m}_r, \mathbf{c}_{r-1}, \mathbf{h}_{r-1}, \cdots, \mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{h}_2\}$ and $\omega' = \{\mathbf{m}_r', \mathbf{c}_{r-1}, \mathbf{h}_{r-1}, \cdots, \mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{h}_2\}$, such that the numbers $l_{i,r} - l_{i,r}'$, $1 \le i \le \{r/2\}$, are integers and $-k < l_{i,r} - l_{i,r}' < k$, are nonequivalent.

For the algebra $U_q'(\mathrm{so}_2)$ these statements are true. In fact, the irreducible representations of $U_q'(\mathrm{so}_2)$ are one-dimensional and nonequivalence condition means that $\mathrm{i}[m_{12}] \neq \mathrm{i}[m_{12}']$ if $m_{12} - m_{12}'$ is an integer such that $-k < m_{12} - m_{12}' < k$. This is true due to the properties of q-numbers $[m]$ for $q^k = 1$.

Now we assume that the above statements on irreducibility and nonequivalence are true for the representations T_ω of the algebra $U_q'(\mathrm{so}_{n-1})$ and prove that they are true for the representations of $U_q'(\mathrm{so}_n)$.

First we show that the representations T_ω of $U_q'(\mathrm{so}_n)$ are irreducible. For this end, we use the decomposition (25):

$$T_\omega\big|_{U_q'(\mathrm{so}_{n-1})} = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \cdots ,$$

where T_i are the corresponding representations $T_{\omega_{n-1}}$. According to the induction assumption, all the representations T_i in this decomposition are irreducible and pairwise nonequivalent. The corresponding representation space V_ω decomposes as

$$V_\omega = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots , \tag{26}$$

where V_i are representation spaces for T_i. Let T_ω be reducible. This means that there exists a proper subspace V in V_ω which is invariant under $U_q'(\mathrm{so}_n)$. Let us show that V is a direct sum of some of the subspaces V_i. In fact, if $\mathbf{x} \in V$, then $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_r$, where $\mathbf{x}_1 \in V_{i_1}$, $\mathbf{x}_2 \in V_{i_2}$, $\cdots$, $\mathbf{x}_r \in V_{i_r}$. First suppose that $r = 2$ and $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2$, $\mathbf{x}_1 \neq 0$, $\mathbf{x}_2 \neq 0$. Then $T_\omega(U_q'(\mathrm{so}_{n-1}))\mathbf{x}$ is a subspace V' of V, invariant with respect to $U_q'(\mathrm{so}_{n-1})$. Since V_{i_1} and V_{i_2} are irreducible for $U_q'(\mathrm{so}_{n-1})$ and the representations T_{i_1} and T_{i_2} are nonequivalent, then V' coincides with one of the spaces V_{i_1}, V_{i_2}, $V_{i_1} \oplus V_{i_2}$. In any case, $V_{i_1} \subset V'$, $V_{i_2} \subset V'$ and $V' = V_{i_1} \oplus V_{i_2}$. This means that $V_{i_1} \oplus V_{i_2} \subset V$. It is proved similarly that if $r > 2$, then $V_{i_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{i_r} \subset V$. Thus, if some vector $\mathbf{x} \in V$ has nonzero component in some subspace V_i from the decomposition (26) for V_ω, then $V_i \subset V$.

Now it follows from the above formulas for the operators $T_\omega(I_{n,n-1})$ that the action of the operator $T_\omega(I_{n,n-1}^s)$, $s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, upon arbitrary vector $\mathbf{x} \in V_i$ gives vectors containing nonzero components of any subspace V_j from (26). Therefore, any subspace V_j from (26) belongs to V. This means that $V = V_\omega$ and the representation T_ω is irreducible.

Let us show nonequivalence of two representations T_ω and $T_{\omega'}$, $\omega \neq \omega'$, with $\omega = \{\mathbf{m}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n-1}, \mathbf{h}_{n-1}, \cdots, \mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{h}_2\}$ and $\omega' = \{\mathbf{m}_n', \mathbf{c}_{n-1}, \mathbf{h}_{n-1}, \cdots, \mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{h}_2\}$, where all the numbers $l_{i,n} - l_{i,n}'$, $1 \le i \le \{n/2\}$, satisfy the conditions formulated in the beginning of this proof. Assume that these representations are equivalent and show that this leads to contradiction. By the definition, two irreducible representations T_ω and $T_{\omega'}$ are equivalent if there exists nondegenerate operator A such that

$$AT_\omega(a)A^{-1} = T_{\omega'}(a), \qquad a \in U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n). \tag{27}$$

The representations T_ω and $T_{\omega'}$ have the same decomposition into irreducible (and pairwise nonequivalent) representations under restriction onto $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_{n-1})$. (Note that T_ω and $T_{\omega'}$ are defined on the same space.) Due to Schur lemma, this means that the operator A is a direct sum of operators A_i acting on the subspaces V_i from (26) and each A_i is multiple to the unit operator.

Let us consider the case $n = 2p$. Putting $a = I_{2p,2p-1}$ in (27) and writing this relation in matrix form in the basis (21) we obtain the equalities

$$B^j_{2p-1}(\xi_n)\frac{a_{\mathbf{m}^{+j}_{n-1}}}{a_{\mathbf{m}_{n-1}}} = B^j_{2p-1}(\xi'_n), \tag{28}$$

$$B^j_{2p-1}(\xi_n^{-j})\frac{a_{\mathbf{m}^{-j}_{n-1}}}{a_{\mathbf{m}_{n-1}}} = B^j_{2p-1}(\xi_n^{'-j}),$$

where ξ_n differs from ξ'_n by the replacement $m_{j,2p} \to m'_{j,2p}$ and $a_{\mathbf{m}_{n-1}}$ are matrix elements of the matrix A. Replacing ξ_n by ξ_n^{+j} in the last relation we have

$$B^j_{2p-1}(\xi_n)\frac{a_{\mathbf{m}_{n-1}}}{a_{\mathbf{m}^{+j}_{n-1}}} = B^j_{2p-1}(\xi'_n). \tag{29}$$

Eliminating all $a_{\mathbf{m}_{n-1}}$ from (28) and (29) we obtain

$$(B^j_{2p-1}(\xi_n))^2 = (B^j_{2p-1}(\xi'_n))^2. \tag{30}$$

Using the explicit form of the coefficients B^j_{2p-1} and the identity $[x-y][x+y] = [x]^2-[y]^2$, we derive that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{p}([l_{i,2p}]^2 - [l_{j,2p-1}]^2) = \prod_{i=1}^{p}([l'_{i,2p}]^2 - [l_{j,2p-1}]^2). \tag{31}$$

Let us consider two polynomials $\prod_{i=1}^{p}([l_{i,2p}]^2-x)$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{p}([l'_{i,2p}]^2-x)$ of variable x. They coincide identically if they coincide on p different values of x. Equalities (31) give such values. Indeed, for each fixed j ($1 \le j \le p-1$), $[l_{j,2p-1}]^2$ takes k different values, and sets of values obtained for different j do not intersect. Thus, we have coincidence of the above polynomials on $k(p-1)$ different points. Therefore, they coincide identically, and the sets of their zeros $\{[l_{i,2p}]^2\}$ and $\{[l'_{i,2p}]^2\}$, $1 \le i \le p$, also must coincide. But the latter is impossible due to the properties of q-numbers and due to conditions for $\{l_{i,2p}\}$ and $\{l'_{i,2p}\}$ (recall that $l_{i,2p}, l'_{i,2p} \notin \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$).

A nonequivalence of the representations T_ω in the case of the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_{2p+1})$ is proved analogously. We only note that in this case we obtain the relations $(A^j_{2p}(\xi_n))^2 = (A^j_{2p}(\xi'_n))^2$ (instead of relations (30)) reducing (due to the identity $[x][y] = [(x+y)/2]^2 - [(x-y)/2]^2$) to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{p}([l_{i,2p+1}-1/2]^2 - [l_{j,2p}+1/2]^2) = \prod_{i=1}^{p}([l'_{i,2p+1}-1/2]^2 - [l_{j,2p}+1/2]^2).$$

Theorem is proved.

There are equivalence relations in the set of irreducible representations T_ω. In order to extract a subset of pairwise nonequivalent representations from the entire set, we introduce some domains on the complex plane. The set

$$D = \{x \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\mathrm{Re}\, x| < k/4 \text{ or } \mathrm{Re}\, x = -k/4,\ \mathrm{Im}\, x \le 0 \text{ or } \mathrm{Re}\, x = k/4,\ \mathrm{Im}\, x \ge 0\}$$

is a maximal subset of $\mathbb{C}$ such that for all $x, y \in D$, $x \neq y$, we have $[x] \neq [y]$. The set

$$D^\pm = \{x \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < \mathrm{Re}\, x < k/4 \text{ or } \mathrm{Re}\, x = 0,\ \mathrm{Im}\, x \ge 0 \text{ or } \mathrm{Re}\, x = k/4,\ \mathrm{Im}\, x \ge 0\}$$

is a maximal subset of $\mathbb{C}$ such that for all $x, y \in D^\pm$, $x \neq y$, we have $[x] \neq \pm[y]$. We need also the sets

$$D_h = \{x \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\mathrm{Re}\, x| < 1/4 \text{ or } \mathrm{Re}\, x = -1/4,\ \mathrm{Im}\, x \le 0 \text{ or } \mathrm{Re}\, x = 1/4,\ \mathrm{Im}\, x \ge 0\},$$

$$D_h^\pm = \{x \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < \mathrm{Re}\, x < 1/4 \text{ or } \mathrm{Re}\, x = 0,\ \mathrm{Im}\, x \ge 0 \text{ or } \mathrm{Re}\, x = 1/4,\ \mathrm{Im}\, x \ge 0\}.$$

We introduce an ordering in the set $D^\pm$ (resp. $D_h^\pm$) as follows: we say that $x \succ y$, $x, y \in D^\pm$ (resp. $x, y \in D_h^\pm$) if either $\mathrm{Re}\, x > \mathrm{Re}\, y$ or both $\mathrm{Re}\, x = \mathrm{Re}\, y$ and $\mathrm{Im}\, x > \mathrm{Im}\, y$.

We say that the set of complex numbers $\mathbf{l}_{2p} = (l_{1,2p}, l_{2,2p}, \cdots, l_{p,2p})$ is *dominant* if $l_{1,2p}$, $l_{2,2p}, \cdots$, $l_{p-1,2p} \in D^\pm$, $l_{p,2p} \in D$, and $l_{1,2p} \succ l_{2,2p} \succ \cdots \succ l_{p-1,2p} \succ l^*_{p,2p}$, where $l^*_{p,2p} = l_{p,2p}$ if $l_{p,2p} \in D^\pm$ and $l^*_{p,2p} = -l_{p,2p}$ if $l_{p,2p} \notin D^\pm$.

The notion of *dominance* for the set $\mathbf{h}_{2p} = (h_{1,2p}, h_{2,2p}, \cdots, h_{p,2p}) \in \mathbb{C}^p$ is introduced by the replacements $l_{i,2p} \to h_{i,2p}$, $D \to D_h$ and $D^\pm \to D_h^\pm$ in the previous definition.

We say that the set of complex numbers $\mathbf{l}_{2p+1} = (l_{1,2p+1}, l_{2,2p+1}, \cdots, l_{p,2p+1})$ is *dominant* if $l_{1,2p+1}$, $l_{2,2p+1}, \cdots, l_{p,2p+1} \in D^\pm$ and $l_{1,2p+1} \succ l_{2,2p+1} \succ \cdots \succ l_{p,2p+1}$.

The notion of *dominance* for the set of complex numbers $\mathbf{h}_{2p+1} = (h_{1,2p+1}, h_{2,2p+1}, \cdots, h_{p,2p+1})$ is introduced by the replacements $l_{i,2p+1} \to h_{i,2p+1}$ and $D^\pm \to D_h^\pm$ in the previous definition.

We say that $\omega = \{\mathbf{m}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n-1}, \mathbf{h}_{n-1}, \cdots, \mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{h}_2\}$ is *dominant* if every of the sets $\mathbf{l}_n$, $\mathbf{h}_{n-1}, \cdots$, $\mathbf{h}_2$ is dominant and if $0 \le \mathrm{Arg}\, c_{ij} < 2\pi/k$, $j = 2, 3, \cdots, n-1$; $i = 1, 2, \cdots, \{j/2\}$.

Theorem 7. *The representations T_ω of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ with dominant ω are pairwise nonequivalent. Any irreducible representation $T_{\omega'}$ is equivalent to some representation T_ω with dominant ω.*

This theorem is proved by using the relation similar to relation (27) and the decomposition (25) for the restriction of the representations T_ω to the subalgebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_{n-1})$.

6. Partially cyclic representations at q a root of unity

The representations of the previous section constitute a main class of irreducible representations of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ at q a root of unity. There are many other classes of irreducible representations which are given by smaller number of parameters and act on linear spaces of smaller dimension. They are obtained from the above representations T_ω if the representation parameters take the values excluded in the previous section. For these values of parameters the corresponding representations become reducible and their irreducible constituents constitute new classes of irreducible representations of $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$. Let us give some of these classes of representations.

Let us fix an integer i such that $1 \le i < \{n/2\}$, where $\{n/2\}$ is an integral part of $n/2$. We fix complex numbers $m_{1n}, m_{2n}, \cdots, m_{in}$ and c_{sj}, h_{sj}, $j = 2, 3, \cdots, n-1$, $s = 1, 2, \cdots, \max\{i, \{j/2\}\}$, such that $c_{sj} \ne 0$ and no of the numbers

$$m_{rn},\ h_{rj},\ h_{rj}-h_{sj},\ h_{rj}-h_{s,j\pm1},\ h_{rj}+h_{sj},\ h_{rj}+h_{s,j\pm1},\ h_{r,n-1}-m_{sn},\ h_{r,n-1}+m_{sn}$$

belongs to $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, and the numbers $m_{i+1,n}, m_{i+2,n}, \cdots, m_{\{n/2\},n}$, which are all integral or all half-integral and such that

$$m_{i+1,n} \ge m_{i+2,n} \ge \cdots \ge |m_{ln}| \quad \text{if} \quad n = 2l,$$

$$m_{i+1,n} \ge m_{i+2,n} \ge \cdots \ge m_{ln} \ge 0 \quad \text{if} \quad n = 2l+1,$$

$$l_{i+1,n} + l_{\{n/2\},n} < k, \qquad l_{i+1,n} - l_{\{n/2\},n} < k,$$

where l_{jn} are determined by formula (22). Let ω be the set of all these numbers m_{jn}, c_{sj}, h_{sj}. (Note that the quantity of these numbers is less than in the set ω in the previous section.)

Let V be a complex vector space with the basis labelled by the tableaux (21), where the set of numbers $\mathbf{m}_n$ consists of $\{n/2\}$ numbers $m_{1,n}, m_{2,n}, \cdots, m_{\{n/2\},n}$ given above, and for each $s = 2, 3, \cdots, n-1$, $\mathbf{m}_s$ is the set of numbers $m_{1,s}, \cdots, m_{\{s/2\},s}$ and each m_{rs}, $r \le i$, runs independently the values $h_{r,s}, h_{r,s}+1, \cdots, h_{r,s}+k-1$ and numbers m_{rs}, $r > i$, run all integers (if $m_{i+1,n}, m_{i+2,n}, \cdots, m_{\{n/2\},n}$ are integers) or half-integers (if $m_{i+1,n}, m_{i+2,n}, \cdots, m_{\{n/2\},n}$ are half-integers) satisfying the same betweenness conditions as in the case of irreducible representations of the classical type for q not a root of unity, that is, the conditions

$$m_{i+1,2r+1} \ge m_{i+1,2r} \ge m_{i+2,2r+1} \ge m_{i+2,2r} \ge \cdots \ge m_{r,2r+1} \ge m_{r,2r} \ge -m_{r,2r+1},$$

$$m_{i+1,2r} \ge m_{i+1,2r-1} \ge m_{i+2,2r} \ge m_{i+2,2r-1} \ge \cdots \ge m_{r-1,2r-1} \ge |m_{r,2r}|.$$

To the set of numbers ω there corresponds the irreducible finite dimensional representation T'_ω of the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ with the operators $T'_\omega(I_{r+1,r})$ given by formulas (23) and (24) (with the same expressions for the coefficients A^j_{2p}, B^j_{2p-1} and C_{2p-1}) in which $c_{j,s} = 1$ for $j > i$.

The fact that the operators $T'_\omega(I_{j,j-1})$ satisfy the defining relations (1)–(3) of the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ and irreducibility of the representations T'_ω are proved in the same way as in the previous section.

A particular case of the above representations T'_ω constitute the so called irreducible representations of minimal dimension. They correspond to the case $i = 1$ and $m_{2n} = m_{3n} = \cdots = m_{\{n/2\},n} = 0$. These representations are given only by complex numbers $m_{1,n}$, $h_{1,n-1}, h_{1,n-2}, \cdots, h_{1,2}$, $c_{n-1}, c_{n-2}, \cdots, c_2$. We denote them by $T^{\min}_\omega$. The space of such a representation has a basis labelled by the tableaux

$$\xi_n = \left(\begin{array}{cccccccc} m_{1n} & & 0 & & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ & m_{1,n-1} & 0 & & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \\ & \cdots & \cdots & & \cdots & \cdots & & \\ & & m_{1,4} & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & m_{1,3} & & & \\ & & & & m_{1,2} & & & \end{array} \right) \equiv |m_{1,n}, m_{1,n-1}, \cdots, m_{1,2}\rangle,$$

where each m_{1r}, $r < n$, runs independently the values $h_{1r}, h_{1r}+1, \cdots, h_{1r}+k-1$. The operators $T^{\min}_\omega(I_{r+1,r})$ of these representations act upon these basis vectors by the formula

$$T^{\min}_\omega(I_{r+1,r})|\xi_n\rangle =$$

$$= c_r \left(\frac{[m_{r+1}+m_r+r-2][m_{r+1}-m_r][m_r+m_{r-1}+r-3]}{[2m_r+r-1][2m_r+r-3][m_r-m_{r-1}+1]^{-1}} \right)^{1/2} |(\xi_n)_r^{+1}\rangle -$$

$$-c_r^{-1} \left(\frac{[m_{r+1}+m_r+r-3][m_{r+1}-m_r+1][m_r+m_{r-1}+r-4]}{[2m_r+r-3][2m_r+r-5][m_r-m_{r-1}]^{-1}} \right)^{1/2} |(\xi_n)_r^{-1}\rangle$$

(for convenience we replaced here $m_{1,j}$ by m_j). These representations are given by $2n-3$ parameters and act on k^{n-1}-dimensional vector spaces.

7. OTHER IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS AT q A ROOT OF UNITY

If q is a root of unity, then there also exists a class of irreducible representations of $U_q'(\mathrm{so}_n)$ similar to the representations of the nonclassical type of $U_q'(\mathrm{so}_n)$ when q is not a root of unity. These representations are described as follows.

Let i be a fixed integer such that $1 \le i < \{n/2\}$. We fix complex numbers $m_{1n}, m_{2n}, \cdots, m_{in}$ and c_{sj}, h_{sj}, $j = 2, 3, \cdots, n-1$, $s = 1, 2, \cdots, \max\{i, \{j/2\}\}$, satisfying the same conditions as in section 6, and the numbers $m_{i+1,n}, m_{i+2,n}, \cdots, m_{\{n/2\}n}$, which are all half-integral and such that

$$m_{i+1,n} \ge m_{i+2,n} \ge \cdots \ge m_{\{n/2\}n} \ge 1/2,$$

$$l_{i+1,n} + l_{\{n/2\},n} < k, \quad l_{i+1,n} - l_{\{n/2\},n} < k.$$

We also fix the set $\epsilon = (\epsilon_{2i+2}, \epsilon_{2i+3}, \cdots, \epsilon_n)$, $\epsilon_j = \pm 1$. Let ω be the set of all these numbers m_{jn}, c_{sj}, h_{sj} and ϵ.

Let V be a complex vector space with a basis labelled by the tableaux (21), where the set of numbers $\mathbf{m}_n$ consists of $\{n/2\}$ numbers $m_{1,n}, m_{2,n}, \cdots, m_{\{n/2\},n}$ given above, and for each $s = 2, 3, \cdots, n-1$, $\mathbf{m}_s$ is a set of numbers $m_{1,s}, \cdots, m_{\{s/2\},s}$ and each m_{rs}, $r \le i$, runs independently the values $h_{r,s}, h_{r,s}+1, \cdots, h_{r,s}+k-1$ and numbers m_{rs}, $r > i$, run all half-integers satisfying the same betweenness conditions as in the case of irreducible representations of the nonclassical type for q not a root of unity (see [14]), that is, the conditions

$$m_{i+1,2r+1} \ge m_{i+1,2r} \ge m_{i+2,2r+1} \ge m_{i+2,2r} \ge \cdots \ge m_{r,2r+1} \ge m_{r,2r} \ge 1/2,$$

$$m_{i+1,2r} \ge m_{i+1,2r-1} \ge m_{i+2,2r} \ge m_{i+2,2r-1} \ge \cdots \ge m_{r-1,2r-1} \ge m_{r,2r} \ge 1/2.$$

To the set of numbers ω there corresponds the irreducible finite dimensional representation T''_ω of the algebra $U_q'(\mathrm{so}_n)$.

If $p \le i$, then the operators $T''_\omega(I_{2p+1,2p})$ and $T''_\omega(I_{2p,2p-1})$ act on the basis elements $|\xi\rangle$ by formulas (23) and (24) (if to replace in (24) p by $p+1$). If $p \ge i+1$, then the operators $T''_\omega(I_{2p+1,2p})$ and $T''_\omega(I_{2p+2,2p+1})$ act as

$$T''_\omega(I_{2p+1,2p})|\xi_n\rangle = \delta_{m_{p,2p},1/2} \frac{\epsilon_{2p+1}}{q^{1/2}-q^{-1/2}} D_{2p}(\xi_n)|\xi_n\rangle +$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{c_{j,2p} A^j_{2p}(\xi_n)}{q^{l_{j,2p}} - q^{-l_{j,2p}}} |(\xi_n)_{2p}^{+j}\rangle - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{c^{-1}_{j,2p} A^j_{2p}((\xi_n)_{2p}^{-j})}{q^{l_{j,2p}} - q^{-l_{j,2p}}} |(\xi_n)_{2p}^{-j}\rangle,$$

$$T''_\omega(I_{2p+2,2p+1})|\xi_n\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{c_{j,2p+1} B^j_{2p+1}(\xi_n)}{[2l_{j,2p+1}-1][l_{j,2p+1}]_+} |(\xi_n)_{2p+1}^{+j}\rangle -$$

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{p}\frac{c_{j,2p+1}^{-1}B_{2p+1}^{j}((\xi_n)_{2p+1}^{-j})}{[2l_{j,2p+1}-1][l_{j,2p+1}-1]_+}|(\xi_n)_{2p+1}^{-j}\rangle+\epsilon_{2p+2}\hat{C}_{2p+1}(\xi_n)|\xi_n\rangle.$$

In these formulas, $c_{sj}\equiv 1$ if $s>i$, $(\xi_n)_k^{\pm j}$ means the tableau (21) in which j-th component $m_{j,k}$ is replaced by $m_{j,k}\pm 1$. Matrix elements A_{2p}^{j} and B_{2p+1}^{j} are given by the same formulas as in (23) and (24), and

$$\hat{C}_{2p+1}(\xi_n)=\frac{\prod_{s=1}^{p+1}[l_{s,2p+2}]_+\prod_{s=1}^{p}[l_{s,2p}]_+}{\prod_{s=1}^{p}[l_{s,2p+1}]_+[l_{s,2p+1}-1]_+}.$$

$$D_{2p}(\xi_n)=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p}[l_{i,2p+1}-\frac{1}{2}]\prod_{i=1}^{p-1}[l_{i,2p-1}-\frac{1}{2}]}{\prod_{i=1}^{p-1}[l_{i,2p}+\frac{1}{2}][l_{i,2p}-\frac{1}{2}]}.$$

In these formulas

$$[b]_+=\frac{q^b+q^{-b}}{q-q^{-1}}.$$

The fact that the operators $T''_\omega(I_{j,j-1})$ satisfy the defining relations (1)–(3) of the algebra $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ and irreducibility of the representations T''_ω are proved in the same way as in section 5.

References

[1] Carow-Watamura, U., Schlieker, M., and Watamura, S., *$SO_q(N)$ covariant differential calculus on quantum space and quantum deformation of Schródinger equation*, Z. Phys. **C49** (1991), 439–446.

[2] U. Gielerak, R., Lukierski, J., and Popowicz, Z. (ed.), *Quantum Groups and Related Topics*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1992.

[3] Schmidke, W. B., Wess, J., and Zumino, B., *A q-deformed Lorentz algebra*, Z. Phys. **C52** (1991), 471–476.

[4] Jimbo, M., *A q-analogue of $U(g)$ and the Yang–Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **10** (1985), 63–69.

[5] Drinfeld, V. G., *Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang–Baxter equation*, Sov. Math. Dokl. **32** (1985), 354–258.

[6] Jantzen, J. C., *Lectures on Quantum Groups*, Aner. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[7] Klimyk, A., and Schmüdgen, K., *Quantum Groups and Their Representations*, Springer, Berlin, 1997.

[8] Reshetikhin, N. Ya., Takhtajan, L. A., and Faddeev L. D., *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Leningrad Math. J. **1** (1990), 193–225.

[9] Gavrilik, A. M., and Klimyk, A. U., *q-Deformed orthogonal and pseudo-orthogonal algebras and their representations*, Lett. Math. Phys. **21** (1991), 215–220.

[10] Fairlie, D. B., *Quantum deformations of $SU(2)$*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **23** (1990), L183–L187.

[11] Odesskii, A., *An analogue of the Sklyanin algebra*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **20** (1986), 152–154.

[12] Noumi, M., *Macdonald's symmetric polynomials as zonal spherical functions on quantum homogeneous spaces*, Adv. Math. **123** (1996), 16–77.

[13] Gavrilik, A. M., and Iorgov, N. Z., *q-Deformed algebras* $U_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ *and their representations*, Methods of Funct. Anal. Topology **3**, No. 4 (1997), 51–63.

[14] Iorgov, N. Z., and Klimyk, A. U., *Nonclassical type representations of the q-deformed algebra* $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$, Czech. J. Phys. **50** (2000), 85–90.

[15] Havlíček, M., Klimyk, A. U., and Pošta, S., *Representations of the cyclically symmetric q-deformed algebra* $\mathrm{so}_q(3)$, J. Math. Phys. **40** (1999), 2135–2161.

[16] Samoilenko, Yu. S., and Turowska, L., *Semilinear relations and ∗-representations of deformations of* $SO(3)$, in *Quantum Groups and Quantum Spaces*, Banach Center Publications, Vol. 40, Warsaw, 1997, p. 21–43.

[17] Gavrilik, A. M., and Iorgov, N. Z., *Higher Casimir operators of the nonstandard q-deformed algebras* $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ *and their eigenvalues in representations*, Heavy Ion Physics **11**, No. 1–2 (2000), to be published.

[18] Havlíček, M., Klimyk, A. U., and Pošta, S., *Central elements of the algebra* $U'_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ *and* $U_q(\mathrm{iso}_n)$, Czech. J. Phys. **50** (2000), 79–84.

[19] Arnaudon, D., and Chakrabarti, A., *Periodic and partially periodic representations of* $\mathrm{SU}(n)_q$, Commun. Math. Phys. **139** (1991), 461–478.

[20] Serre, J.-P., *Lie Algebras and Lie Groups*, Benjamin, Reading, MA, 1965.

[21] Klimyk, A. U., *Nonstandard q-deformation of the universal enveloping algebra* $U(\mathrm{so}_n)$, Proc. Int. Conf. "Quantum Theory and Symmetry", World Scientific, Singapore, to be published.

[22] Noumi, M., Umeda, T., and Wakayama, M., *Dual pairs, spherical harmonics and a Capelli identity in quantum group theory*, Compos. Math. **104** (1996), 227–277.

[23] Gavrilik, A. M., and Iorgov, N. Z., *Representations of the nonstandard algebras* $U_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ *and* $U_q(\mathrm{so}_{n,1})$ *in Gel'fand–Tsetlin basis*, Ukr. J. Phys. **43** (1998), 791–797.

[24] Bergman, G. M., *The diamond lemma for the ring theory*, Adv. Math. **29** (1978), 178–218.

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Ukrainian National Academy of Sciences, Metrologichna str., 14-b, 03143, Kiev, Ukraine
E-mail address: mmtpitp@bitp.kiev.ua

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Ukrainian National Academy of Sciences, Metrologichna str., 14-b, 03143, Kiev, Ukraine
E-mail address: aklimyk@gluk.apc.org